1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6	TOM JON RILURCASA,) Case No.: 1:20-cv-01568-SAB (PC)
7	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION
8	v.	SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	(ECF No. 8)
10	Defendants.	
11		
12	Plaintiff Tom Jon Rilurcasa is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights	
13	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
14	On December 16, 2020, the Court screened Plaintiff's complaint, found no cognizable claims,	
15	and granted Plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 8.) Over thirty days have	
16	passed and Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the Court's order.	
17	Accordingly, within fourteen (14) days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall show	
18	cause in writing why the action should not be dismissed. <u>Plaintiff is warned again that failure to</u>	
19	comply with this order will result in a recommendation to a District Judge that the instant action be	
20	dismissed for failure to prosecute, failure to obey a court order, and failure to state a cognizable claim	
21	<u>for relief</u> .	
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	SIR
24	Dated: January 25, 2021	July N. Lase
25		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		
27		